



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/470,615	12/22/1999	MASAO KAWAGUCHI	49375(868)		
75	90 07/16/2002				
DIKE BRONSTEIN ROBERTS & CUSHMAN LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PRATICE GROUP EDWARDS & ANGELL			EXAMINER .		
			BUEKER, RICHARD R		
P.O. BOX 9169 BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
202101,121			1763	10	
		DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/470,615

Richard Bueker

Art Unit

Office Action Summary Examiner

1763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>22 April 20</u>	<u>)2</u> .	•				
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action						
3)	Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the practice.	n for allowance ex ctice under <i>Ex pan</i>	cept for formal matte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
•	on of Claims			·				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/ar	e withdrawn from o	onsideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 13-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
• •	on Papers							
,	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
, —	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner						
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			440() () (0				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priorit	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
•	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a 15)⊟ <i>/</i>) \square The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim	anguage provisionation for domestic prior	I application has be ty under 35 U.S.C. {	en received. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachmen	t(s)			•				
2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)



Application/Control Number: 09/470,615

Art Unit: 1763

Claims 13, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "a long axis of the support members" is vague and indefinite because it does not properly define the recited axis. Many axes of rotation can be considered to pass through each support member, and an axis of rotation need not even pass through a support member. The claims should define which particular axis is intended. Also, only one axis is recited as belonging to plural support members, which makes it unclear which axis is being referred to.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mears. Mears discloses a wafer holder comprising a stage for holding the wafer, a shaft member for angularly displacing the stage that is bearing the substrate to a position where the wafer is held



Application/Control Number: 09/470,615

Art Unit: 1763

vertically, a plurality of support members are provided so as to protrude from the stage surface for supporting an end of the wafer while the wafer is held vertically, and means for moving the support members. The ion implantation apparatus of Mears is inherently a film forming apparatus, because the dictionary definition of "film" is "an exceedingly thin layer", and Mears apparatus creates a thin implanted surface layer in a treated wafer. The wafer holder of Mears is at least inherently capable of holding a wafer in a coating apparatus, and it is noted that the limitation of "which mechanism is used in a film forming apparatus" is a recitation of intended use that does not limit the claimed substrate holder to use only in the recited coating apparatus.

The rejection based on Obara in view of Nakane and Mears has been removed in view of applicant's arguments.

Regarding Mears, applicant has argued Mears' support members are designed to have a significant amount of contact with the wafer. It is noted, however, that the support members of Mears do support the edge of the wafer, which is the end surface of the substrate, and some of the supports members are positioned to support the part of the wafer edge that faces downwards as recited in claims 1 and 2. The claims as written do not exclude the presence of support members on all sides of the substrate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Bueker whose telephone number is (703) 308-1895. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (703) 308-1633. The fax phone numbers





Application/Control Number: 09/470,615

Art Unit: 1763

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Richard Bueker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763

Rule Bul

July 15, 2002